Planning for Sustainable Fire Services RLCSD and STVFD

Tuesday, March 29, 2022 -- 1:00 p.m.

Mad River Community Hall, 591 Van Duzen Rd

Goal of the Overall Process/Long-term Goal: Sustain an adequate and affordable level of year-round community fire and rescue services for the focus area that is supported by all recipients

Meeting Purpose:

- Provide an overview of current fire and rescue services and the current support structure for those services
- Discuss role of LAFCo and the Municipal Services Review and Sphere of Influence Update (MSR/SOI Update) being prepared for RLCSD

Meeting Objectives/Desired Outcomes:

- "Get on the same page", so to speak, about what the challenges are
- Increase awareness of one another's perspectives
- Begin to consider opportunities for long-term solutions to identified problems

Agenda:

What	How
Welcome and Review Agenda	Colette: • Welcome and Introductions • Review meeting purpose, desired outcomes, and agenda • Check for agenda modification suggestions and agreement Attendees: STVFD Darroll Meyer Doug Dinsmore (707-499-8485) Gene Lombardo Rus Brown Ken Richardson Dan Frasier Mike Frasier Nick Erikson Attendees: RLCSD Debbie Sellman Susan Gordon

	Brian Nicolson
	Ed Johnson
	Jordan Emery, Chairman
	Caitlin Canale, District Manager
	Cindy Lofthouse, Board Secretary
	All (facilitated by Colette):
	Round robin discussion
	Sharing topics:
	 What are you experiencing related to fire services for the area?
	What do you think is working well?
	 Where do you see room for improvement/or what is needed?
	Notes:
	Working well
	 Good communication between both Boards. Currently Ad Hoc meets as needed.
	 STVFD has no long term debt. New station built in 1997 (need to confirm year).
	 RLCSD Board is receptive to supporting the fire department, providing annual donations when capable to do so, and acknowledging mutual benefits.
	Challenges
Building Collective Understanding	Disconnect between boards regarding "obligation" or "responsibility" for providing and funding fire protection.
	 STVFD doesn't have sufficient funding to purchase new/used equipment. Only has available funds to cover base operational
	expenses.
	 RLCSD can't always fund full amount STVFD budget needs from the District's enterprise revenue sources.
	 Missing history of reasons behind "separation" of STVFD and RLCSD. STVFD formed 501c(3) in order to retain ownership of station property and during that same time likely wanted full control of
	finances and administration.
	Recreational users don't pay fair share for emergency services. Discussed countywide sales tax as a way to generate revenue from
	non-residents, with recognition this would have to be levied by the
	 County. Tax exempt federal lands in RLCSD boundary makes levying a special tax difficult.
	 Response area of Kettenpom VFD overlap with RLCSD boundaries.
	Response area of STVFD extends to Larabee Valley as midpoint between Bridgeville.

	Colette:
Overview of LAFCo and MSR/SOI update	Provide overview of the Draft RLCSD MSR/SOI Update
	Review Sphere of Influence options
	Discuss timeline and next steps
	Notes:
	 Discussed SOI for RLCSD; discussed making SOI coterminous apart from excluding the response area of Kettenpom VFD.
	Next LAFCo meeting April 19; will be taking final draft MSR/SOI
	Update for commission approval.
	All:
	Identify next steps:
	 Schedule follow-up working sessions
	 Identify objectives for next working sessions
	Notes:
	Send revised MSR/SOI Update to both Boards for review prior to April
	19 th LAFCo meeting.
	a. Include additional information regarding history of separation
	b. Include relevant information from STVFD annual report
	(obtained copy at meeting)
Next Steps	c. Make SOI revisions to be coterminous apart from Kettenpom response area; document response area extending to Larabee
	Valley for STVFD.
	2. Obtain secured and unsecured tax roll from Assessor and analyze
	taxable parcels.
	3. Determine whether Special Tax is feasible and report on next steps
	 Research whether establishing a RLCSD "service zone" for purposes of establishing a special tax to exclude the
	Kettenpom VFD response area is feasible.
	b. Determine form of future agreement between RLCSD and
	STVFD for administrative and operational functions.
	i. Special Tax levied by RLCSD; budgeted expenditures
	to STVFD by contract or agreement?
Closing	Colette:
	Recap meeting
	Review follow-up tasks
	Did we achieve our desired outcomes?
	All:
	Discuss and agree on next steps
	Commit to follow-up tasks
	Next Meeting
	May 24 at 1:00 pm.